

| | | |
|---------------------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
| | 10/613,418 | HOULE, SABINA J. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Gregory D. Thompson | 2835 |

All Participants:

(1) Gregory D. Thompson. (3) _____.

(2) Shelley Cobos. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 15 September 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

102(b) with Patel and Toy.

Claims discussed:

8-11, 18-19 and 24

Prior art documents discussed:

Patel and Toy

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Claims 8 and 18 amended in filing on 9/25/06 to overcome Patel and Toy.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)